

FORM 26. Docketing Statement**Form 26 (p. 1)**
July 2020

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case Number: 23-2298, 23-2354

Short Case Caption: VLSI Tech. LLC v. Patent Quality Assurance LLC

Filing Party/Entity: Patent Quality Assurance LLC

Instructions: Complete each section or check the box if a section is intentionally blank or not applicable. Attach additional pages as needed. Refer to the court's Mediation Guidelines for filing requirements. An amended docketing statement is required for each new appeal or cross-appeal consolidated after first filing.

Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case
USPTO PTAB	IPR2021-01229	Inter Partes Review

Relief sought on appeal: None/Not Applicable

Vacate all findings and determinations in Papers 35 (other than Part III.A), 36, 37, 39, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 106, 108, 109, 111, 112, 113, 117, 122, 124, 125, 130, 131, 143, and the precedential publication of Paper 102.

Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): None/Not Applicable

All claims of US Patent No. 7,523,373 cancelled; various sanctions imposed and some possibly retracted.

Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from:

The above-listed orders issued by the Director in this Inter Partes Review, except for Part III.A of Paper 35.

Nature of judgment (select one): Date of judgment: 6/13/23

- Final Judgment, 28 USC § 1295
- Rule 54(b)
- Interlocutory Order (specify type) _____
- Other (explain) _____

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 2)
July 2020

Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court, and the name of the writing judge if an opinion was issued. None/Not Applicable

Issues to be raised on appeal: None/Not Applicable

Whether the Director had authority to issue orders in Papers 35, 39, 100, 101, 102, 106, 112, 130, 131, and 146 and whether the Director legally erred in issuing the same. Whether the Director erred by determining arguments or statements were a misrepresentation or misleading when the underlying document was before the Board and the Board was not misled.

Have there been discussions with other parties relating to settlement of this case?

Yes No

If "yes," when were the last such discussions?

- Before the case was filed below
- During the pendency of the case below
- Following the judgment/order appealed from

If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated? Yes No

If they were mediated, by whom?

Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation? Yes No

Explain.

The issues are too divergent to be settled by mediation.

Provide any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the court's mediation program.

Date: 12/22/23

Signature: s/Truman H. Fenton

Name: Truman H. Fenton